UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/597,523	07/28/2006	Rudy Musschebroeck	NL 050110	2332	
24737 7590 07/28/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 PRIADCH JET MANOR NW 10510			EXAMINER		
			PENG, FRED H		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2426		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)		
MUSSCHEBROECK ET AL.		
Art Unit		
2426		
	MUSSCHEBROECE Art Unit	

	FRED PENG	2426	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN 1000
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con	•	E below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	octed claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finding reje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	,	•	J
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Joseph P. Hirl/			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426 July 27, 2009			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In reference to Applicant's arguments

(a) In claim 1, Applicants respectfully submit that Goldstein does not disclose communicating to remote server 700 an indication of a plurality of sources that are available to supply content to an audio/video device. The Office Action states that Goldstein discloses this at col. 7, line 67 - col. 8, line 6. Applicants respectfully disagree. The cited text merely discloses that data embedded in a television signal may be transferred to remote control device 5. The text does not disclose communicating anything to remote server 700 - indeed, the text does not mention remote server 700 at all.

Examiner's response

(a) The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The remote control device receives an indication of a plurality of sources that are available to supply content to an audio/video device through the channel of data embedded in a television signal can be broadly interpreted as communicating to remote server since related program data is inherently stored in the remote server or equivalent.

In reference to Applicant's arguments

- (b) Applicants also respectfully submit that Goldstein does not disclose receiving at remote control device 5 from database 700 respective representations of respective ones of the sources for being rendered at a user interface of the control device 5.

 Examiner's response
- (b) The Examiner disagrees. Citation from Col 8 lines 42-45, 65-76 of Goldstein in view of citations from Col 32 Col 36 reads on the limitations of "receiving at remote control device 5 from database 700 respective representations of respective ones of the sources for being rendered at a user interface of the control device". The remote control device 5 is able to download program menu from database 700 through telephone interface so a user can select a program from the program menu displayed on the remote control for viewing or recording reads into these limitations.

In reference to Applicant's arguments

- (c) Applicants further argues about claims 2-9 and 11.
- Examiner's response
- (c) The Examiner also disagrees. Refer Examiner's final rejection 05/15/09 for response.